UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

| SUKHJIT SINGH MINHAS, et al., Plaintiffs, v. | No. C07-339RSL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER |
|--|---|
| GREGORY W. CHRISTIAN, et al., Defendants. |))) |
| |) |

This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. On May 6, 2007, plaintiffs filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief seeking immediate adjudication of their applications for adjustment of status. See Dkt. #1. On May 21, 2007, the parties filed a joint status report consenting to the referral of this case to a full-time Magistrate Judge. See Dkt. #6 at ¶7. On June 5, 2007, the parties filed a joint stipulation and proposed order to withdraw this consent. See Dkt. #10. As a result, on June 8, 2007, the Honorable James P. Donohue, United States Magistrate Judge, returned this matter to the undersigned for adjudication. See Dkt. #16. On June 7, 2007, the parties filed a joint report stating that "[if] the Court does refer this case back to Judge Lasnik, the parties propose that the deadlines in this report remain intact." See Dkt. #13 (Joint Report) at n.1. Accordingly, based on the dates identified in the parties' joint report, the Court ORDERS as follows:

Plaintiffs shall file their motion for summary judgment by: August 1, 2007

Defendants shall file their response, and cross-motion for summary judgment, if any, by:

August 24, 2007

Plaintiffs shall file a reply to their motion for summary judgment and response to defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment, if any, by: September 7, 2007

Defendants shall file a reply to their cross-motion for summary judgment, if any, by:

September 21, 2007

These dates are set by the Court. All other dates are specified in the Local Civil Rules. If any of the dates identified in this Order or the Local Civil Rules fall on a weekend or federal holiday, the act or event shall be performed on the next business day. These are firm dates that can be changed only by order of the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rules 10(g) and/or 7(d)(2), not by agreement of counsel or the parties. The Court will alter these dates only upon good cause shown.

ALTERATIONS TO ELECTRONIC FILING PROCEDURES

As of June 1, 2004, counsel are required to electronically file all documents with the Court. *Pro se* litigants may file either electronically or in paper form. Information and procedures for electronic filing can be found on the Western District of Washington's website at www.wawd.uscourts.gov. The following alterations to the Electronic Filing Procedures apply in all cases pending before Judge Lasnik:

- Section III, Paragraph F - when the aggregate submittal to the court (*i.e.*, the motion, any declarations and exhibits, the proposed order, and the certificate of service) exceeds <u>50</u> pages in length, a paper copy of the documents (with tabs or other organizing aids as necessary) shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office for chambers by 10:30 am the morning after filing. The chambers copy must be clearly marked with the words "Courtesy Copy of Electronic Filing for Chambers."

| 2 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |

1

- Section III, Paragraph L - unless the proposed order is stipulated, agreed, or otherwise uncontested, the parties need not e-mail a copy of the order to the judge's e-mail address.

PRIVACY POLICY

Pursuant to the General Order of the Court regarding Public Access to Electronic Case Files (filed 5/29/03), parties are to redact the following information from documents and exhibits before they are filed with the court:

- * Dates of Birth redact to the year of birth
- * Names of Minor Children redact to the initials
- * Social Security Numbers redact to the last four digits
- * Financial Accounting Information redact to the last four digits

The General Order was issued pursuant to the official policy on privacy adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States and can be found on the court's website at http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/docs. All documents filed in the above-captioned matter must comply with the Privacy Policy and the General Order.

SETTLEMENT

Should this case settle, counsel shall notify the Deputy Clerk as soon as possible. Pursuant to GR 3(b), an attorney who fails to give the Deputy Clerk prompt notice of settlement may be subject to such discipline as the Court deems appropriate.

DATED this 23rd day of July, 2007.

MMS (asuk)
Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER